1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		·
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	DISTRIC	T OF NEVADA
20	YVETTE WEINSTEIN, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE OF THE JOSH MOLINE	CASE NO. 2:10-CV-95
21	BANKRUPTCY ESTATE. (Re: 145 Attingham Park,)	
22	Plaintiff,	
23	- ,	Stipulation For Extension of Time To File Motions, Oppositions and/or Replies
24	V.	ORDER
25	VILLAGE OAKS MORTGAGE LLC, Foreign Limited Liability Company;	,
26	Foreign Limited Liability Company; HEATHER ZABORSKY, Individually; AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY,	
27	Foreign Company WELLS FARGO HOME EQUITY, Foreign	
28	Company	

1 2 3

MORTGAGEIT, INC, Foreign Corporation; ROE Rating Company; DOES 1-100, inclusive; ROE ENTITIES 1-100; ROE CORPORATIONS 1-100, inclusive

Defendants

5

4

1 (1

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

25 To 26 ... 27 ...

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto, by and through their respective counsel, MICHAEL R. HALL, ESQ. and, STEVEN T. JAFFE, ESQ., of the law firm HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, L.L.P., for Plaintiff, and, CYNTHIA L. ALEXANDER, ESQ., CLAIR Y. DOSSIER, ESQ., CASSIE R. STRATFORD, ESQ., of the law firm SNELL & WILMER, LLP, for Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., incorrectly named as "Wells Fargo Home Equity," dba America's Servicing Company, and successor by merger to Wells Fargo Home Mortgage ("Wells Fargo"), that the time in this matter be extended to file motion papers by two weeks. Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, Or In The Alternative, For Summary Judgment (hereinafter "Motion to Dismiss") on January 29, 2010. The current date to file Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss is February 22, 2010, and Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss is March 9, 2010. Plaintiff filed his Motion to Remand on January 28, 2010, and Defendant filed its Opposition to Motion to Remand on February 5, 2010. The current date to file Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion To Remand is February 16, 2010.

This is the parties first stipulation to extend time to file motions. Defendant filed its lengthy Motion to Dismiss on January 29, 2010. The Defendant's Motion to Dismiss argues for the dismissal of eleven causes of action, and as such, requires more time to effectively oppose it. Moreover, the lead attorney on this case has been in trial from February 1, 2010 to the present date, and therefore Plaintiff requires more time to oppose Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and reply to Defendant's Opposition to her Motion To Remand. Per the stipulation, the new date to file Plaintiff's Opposition To Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss will be March 1, 2010; the new date to file Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Opposition To

1	Defendant Wells Fargo's Motion To Dismiss will be March 23, 2010; and the new date to file Plaintiff's	
2	Reply in Support of Plaintiff's Motion To Re	emand will be March 2, 2010.
3	DATED this day of February, 2010	DATED this day of February, 2010.
4	HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP	SNELL & WILMER,, LLP
5	3 400	111 / 11
6	By: MICHAEL R. HALL	By:
1	Nevada Bar No. 005978 STEVEN T. JAFFE	Nevada Bar No. 6718 CLAIRE Y DOSSIER, ESQ.
8	Nevada Bar No. 007035 7455 West Washington Ave., Suite 460	Nevada Bar No. 10030 CASSIE/K. STRATFORD, ESQ
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Attorney for Plaintiff	Nevada Bar No. 11288 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
10	Autorney for 1 iumigj	Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorney for Defendant
11		morney for Defendin
12		
13		ORDER
14		IT IS SO ORDERED:
15		Elsiha
16		Oldune
17		
18		LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
19		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20		DATED: February 18, 2010.
21		
22		
ľ		
2 =		
25		
26 27		
28		
40		3